



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/735,925	12/14/2000	Carl Dionne	1561-63 5812 EXAMINER	
23117	7590 07/08/2004			
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC 1100 N GLEBE ROAD 8TH FLOOR			DELGADO, MICHAEL A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTON, VA 22201-4714			2144	7
			DATE MAILED: 07/08/2004	; (

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

h

		Α			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Cummans	09/735,925	DIONNE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Michael S. A. Delgado	2144			
Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was really received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 February 2001</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 27 February 2001 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	e: a) accepted or b) objected or b) objected or b) objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected or by its object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat ity documents have been receiv I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4.5.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal R 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2144

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 6,067,551 by Brown et al.

In claim 1, Brown teaches about an apparatus for sharing data over a network, having a plurality of network-connected terminals "multi-user", each comprising (Col 4, lines 5-20),

visual display means (Fig 1, 48);

processing means (Fig 1, 21);

storage means (Fig 1, 32); and

memory means; wherein said memory means includes

instructions to duplicate an object from a second of said network connected terminals "shared server" at a first of said network-connected terminals in response to a data requirement of said first terminal (Col 4, lines 5-20);

instructions to access data in said object using locally executed object instructions at said first terminal (Col 4, lines 5-20); and (Editing instructions use to edit document).

instructions to maintain data consistency between duplicated objects "reconciling process" (Col 4, lines 28-35).

Art Unit: 2144

In claim 2, Brown teaches about an apparatus according to claim 1, wherein said instructions are either stored in said storage means or are loaded from an external medium and retrieved into said memory means (Col 9, lines 10-15). (Application program is loaded in to memory from hard drive)

In claim 3, Brown teaches about an apparatus according to claim 1, wherein said instructions maintain data consistency between duplicated objects monitor central Processing Unit usage and network bandwidth utilization "timing issues" (Col 4, lines 28-35) (Col 2, lines 60-65).

In claim 4, Brown teaches about a method of sharing data over a network, having a plurality of network-connected terminals, each comprising memory means and processing means, said memory means including instructions for managing object duplication, including steps of (Col 7, lines 30-50)

- (a) in response to a data requirement of a first of said network terminals, duplicating an object from a second of said network terminals "share server" at said first terminal (Col 4, lines 5-20);
- (b) at said first terminal, accessing data in said object using locally executed object instructions (Col 4, lines 5-20); and (Editing instructions use to edit document).
- (c) maintaining data consistency between duplicated objects "reconciling process" (Col 4, lines 28-35).

Art Unit: 2144

In claim 5, Brown teaches about a method according to claim 4, wherein said object duplication instructions for managing object duplication constitutes a duplication manager "MCF" (Col 5, lines 40-60) (Col 4, lines 5-20).

In claim 6, Brown teaches about a method according to claim 4, wherein said object from a second of said network terminals is a duplicate master (Col 5, lines 40-60).

In claim 7, Brown teaches about a method according to claim 4, wherein said duplicated object at said first terminal is a duplicate (Col 4, lines 5-20).

In claim 8, Brown teaches about a method according to claim 4, wherein said duplicate master updates said duplicate (Col 5, lines 40-60).

In claim 9, Brown teaches about a method according to claim 4, wherein only one duplicate master exists for a group of duplicates (Col 5, lines 40-60).

In claim 10, Brown teaches about a method according to claim 4, wherein the role of any of said duplicates within said group and the role of said duplicate master can be switched (Col 3, lines 20-30). The reconstruction process reverses the role between the user and central control as the user provide the duplicates while the central control take action on the duplicates.

In claim 11, Brown teaches about a method according to claim 10, wherein said switching is the result of a command, called load-balancing, or the result of an automatic fault-recovery process performed by the duplication manager (Col 3, lines 20-30).

Art Unit: 2144

In claim 12, Brown teaches about a method of sharing data over a network, having a plurality of network-connected terminals, each comprising memory means and processing means, said memory means including instructions for managing object duplication, including steps of (Col 7, lines 30-50):

- (a) in response to an availability of a list of said network terminals, duplicating an object from a second of said network terminals "shared server" at said first terminal (Col 4, lines 5-20):
- (b) at said first terminal, accessing data using locally executable object instructions (Col 4, lines 5-20); and (Editing instructions use to edit document).
 - (c) maintaining data consistency between duplicated objects (Col 4, lines 28-35).

In claim 13, Brown teaches about a method according to claim 12, wherein said object duplication instructions for managing object duplication constitute a duplication manager "MCF" (Col 5, lines 40-60) (Col 4, lines 5-20).

In claim 14, Brown teaches about an method according to claim 12, wherein said object from a second of said network terminals is a duplicate master "MCF" (Col 5, lines 40-60) (Col 4, lines 5-20).;

In claim 15, Brown teaches about a method according to claim 12, wherein said duplicated object at said first terminal is a duplicate (Col 4, lines 5-20);

Art Unit: 2144

In claim 16, Brown teaches about a method according to claim 12, wherein said duplicate master updates said duplicate (Col 5, lines 40-60);

In claim 17, Brown teaches about a method according to claim 12, wherein only one duplicate master exists for a group of duplicates (Col 5, lines 40-60).

In claim 18, Brown teaches about a method according to claim 12, wherein the role of any of said duplicates within said group and the role of said duplicate master can be switched (Col 3, lines 20-30). The reconstruction process reverses the role between the user and central control as the user provide the duplicates while the central control take action on the duplicates.

In claim 19, Brown teaches about a method according to claim 18, wherein said switching is the result of a command, called load-balancing, or the result of an automatic fault-recovery process performed by the duplication manager (Col 3, lines 20-30).

In claim 20, Brown teaches about a computer-readable medium having computer-readable instructions executable by a computer such that, when executing said instructions, a computer will perform the steps of (Fig 1)

- (a) in response to a data requirement of a first network terminal of a plurality of network terminals, duplicating an object from a second of said plurality of network terminals at said first terminal (Col 4, lines 5-20);
- (b) at said first terminal, accessing data in said object using locally executed object instructions (Col 4, lines 5-20); and (Editing instructions use to edit document).

Art Unit: 2144

(c) maintaining data consistency between duplicated objects (Col 4, lines 28-35).

In claim 21, Brown teaches about a computer-readable medium having computer-readable instructions executable by a computer such that, when executing said instructions, a computer will perform the steps of (Fig 1)

- (a) in response to an availability of a list of network terminals, duplicating an object from a second of said network terminals at a first of said terminals (Col 4, lines 5-20);
- (b) at said first terminal, facilitating data access using locally executable object instructions (Col 4, lines 5-20); and
 - (c) maintaining data consistency between duplicated objects (Col 4, lines 28-35).

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US Patent 5,781908 by Williams et al, teaches about a file data synchronizer in a distributed data computer network.

US Patent 6,742,023 by Fanning et al, teaches about an use-sensitive distribution system for transferring data files between users of computer network e.g. for users accessing a chat room or news group over the Internet and wanting to share files.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael S. A. Delgado whose telephone number is 703-305-8057. The examiner can normally be reached on 7.30 AM - 5.30PM.

Art Unit: 2144

Page 8

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, WILLIAM A CUCHLINSKI JR can be reached on (703)308-3873. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

 \mathcal{M}

MARC D. THOMPSON
MARC THOMPSON
PRIMARY EXAMINER
703.308.6750